

Epidermal morphogenesis during progressive *in vitro* 3D reconstruction at the air–liquid interface

Aurélie Frankart*, Jérémy Malaise*, Evelyne De Vuyst, Frédéric Minner, Catherine Lambert de Rouvroit and Yves Poumay

Research Unit for Molecular Physiology (URPHYM), Cell and Tissue Laboratory, NARILIS, University of Namur (FUNDP), Namur, Belgium
Correspondence: Yves Poumay, Cell and Tissue Laboratory, URPHYM-NARILIS, University of Namur (FUNDP), 61 Rue de Bruxelles, B-5000 Namur, Belgium, Tel.: +32-81-724-257, Fax: +32-81-724-261, e-mail: yves.poumay@fundp.ac.be

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Keratinocyte monolayers, cultured in immersed conditions, constitute a frequently used *in vitro* model system to study keratinocytes behaviour in response to environmental assaults. However, monolayers lack the keratinocyte terminal differentiation and the organization of the epidermal tissue, which are observed *in vivo*. Advancements of *in vitro* techniques were used to reconstruct three-dimensional equivalents that mimic human epidermis in terms of layering, differentiation and barrier function. Here, we update a published method and illustrate the progressive morphogenesis responsible for *in vitro* reconstruction.

The analysis of cell proliferation, expression of differentiation markers and barrier efficacy demonstrate the excellent similarity of the reconstructed tissue with normal human epidermis. Availability of epidermal tissue during its reconstruction phase in culture appears crucial for studies intending to challenge the barrier function.

Key words: barrier formation – differentiation markers – proliferation – reconstructed human epidermis

Accepted for publication 3 September 2012

Introduction

By creating an impermeable cornified layer on its surface, the epidermis provides a barrier against loss of body fluid and environmental assaults such as solar radiation, infections, or chemical aggressions (1). Primary cultures of keratinocytes constitute an *in vitro* model to characterize this cell type's behaviour. However, keratinocyte monolayers in immersed culture conditions represent an incomplete epidermal model regarding terminal differentiation and production of the barrier. Indeed, complete epidermal morphogenesis requires exposure of stratified keratinocytes to the air–liquid interface (1). Advancements in keratinocyte culture were used to reconstruct three-dimensional epidermal equivalents that mimic human epidermis in terms of tissue architecture, differentiation and barrier function (2).

While the pioneering work of Rheinwald and Green produced stratified colonies of human primary cultured keratinocytes (3), the differentiation programme was abnormal in such conditions (4), creating a need for cultures that would more closely mimic normal expression of differentiation markers. Chemically defined culture media permitted keratinocyte culture in absence of serum and feeder cells, in the presence of low calcium concentration (5), inspired by data collected with mouse keratinocytes (6). However, calcium concentration above 1 mM is required for intercellular anchoring junctions and stratification of keratinocytes. Thus, Prunieras and co-workers developed a well-differentiated epidermis in culture (7) and demonstrated full differentiation of keratinocytes from basal to cornified layers by exposing cells to the air–liquid interface (8,9). By sorting primary keratinocytes expressing high levels of integrin $\alpha 6$, highly proliferative clones capable of epidermal reconstruction can be obtained from single cells (10).

Currently, several commercial human skin substitutes or reconstructed epidermis represent reproducible models with controlled environment, often used in cutaneous toxicological studies. How-

ever, the cost of such tissues and the need for tailor-made culture parameters led us to publish methods for an open-source reconstruction of a fully differentiated epidermis. Here, we update detailed description of our method intended to obtain human epidermis that exhibits typical *in vivo* morphology 11 days after seeding keratinocytes on polycarbonate filters (11). We also illustrate progressive morphogenesis during reconstruction of the epidermis and concomitantly analysed cell proliferation, expression of differentiation markers and efficacy of the barrier.

Materials and methods

Culture media

The basal medium for culture settings, KGM-2 (Clonetics, San Diego, CA, USA), is supplemented with SingleQuot KGM-2 (Clonetics) according to manufacturer's instructions to contain as final concentrations 50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ bovine pituitary extract, 10 ng/ml EGF, 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ insulin, 5.10^{-7} M hydrocortisone, 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ transferrin and 0.15 mM Ca^{++} . EpiLife medium (Cascade Biologics) supplemented with HKGS (Cascade Biologics, Portland, OR, USA) was used according to manufacturer's instructions for growth of keratinocytes and contains 0.2% bovine pituitary extract, 0.2 ng/ml EGF, 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ insulin, 5.10^{-7} M hydrocortisone, 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ transferrin and 0.06 mM of Ca^{++} . The medium used on the first day of tissue reconstruction is composed of complete EpiLife medium supplemented by CaCl_2 to reach 1.5 mM Ca^{++} . After exposure to the air–liquid interface, modified complete EpiLife culture medium is used, supplemented with 1.5 mM Ca^{++} , 50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ vitamin C (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) and 10 ng/ml keratinocyte growth factor (KGF; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Human keratinocytes isolation and reconstruction of the epidermis

Human primary keratinocytes were isolated from superficial normal adult skin collected from plastic surgery (Dr B. Bienfait, Clinique St. Luc, Namur-Bouge, Belgium) as described (12).

Briefly, keratinocytes were isolated by the trypsin float technique and proliferating primary cultures initiated in complete KGM-2 medium. Before reaching confluence, proliferating keratinocytes were harvested by trypsinization and plated for sub-culture in complete EpiLife medium, containing supplements (HKGS). When a 60% density was reached, cells were trypsinized for preservation in liquid nitrogen (12). For tissue reconstruction, third-passage proliferating keratinocytes were used and our previously published protocol (11) was slightly improved. Cell suspensions containing approximately 2 million cells, thawed after preservation in liquid nitrogen, were diluted in complete KGM-2 medium in one 175 cm² culture flask for 24 h and then in complete EpiLife medium renewed every 2 days. When keratinocytes covered 60–70% of the flask area, cells were harvested by trypsinization and centrifuged for 10 min at 1000 rpm at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended at a density of 300 000 cells/ml in ice-cold EpiLife medium containing high (1.5 mM) calcium concentration. Polycarbonate culture inserts (0.63 cm² of area containing 0.4 µm diameter pore size; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) were placed in six-well plates containing 2.5 ml of cold medium. Each insert received 500 µl of keratinocyte suspension (300 000 cells/ml) corresponding to about 250 000 cells/cm². After 24 h of incubation at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂, cells were exposed to the air–liquid interface by removal of the medium in the upper compartment. The 2.5 ml of medium under the filter were replaced by 1.5 ml of complete EpiLife medium, modified for tissue reconstruction and renewed every 2 days.

Histological analysis and immunolabelling of epidermal differentiation markers

Reconstructed human epidermis (RHE) were fixed after 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days of culture in 4% formalin-acetic acid solution, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Incubation in toluene prior to paraffin embedding induces detachment of the polycarbonate filter from the insert, releasing tissue. Tissue sections (6 µm thick) were prepared perpendicular to the filter and laid over microscopic slides before staining with haematoxylin–eosin.

Tissue sections for immunolabelling were deparaffinized, rehydrated and rinsed with water. For filaggrin labelling, sections were immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 6 at 90°C for 20 min, then washed twice in PBS before blocking in PBS containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin and 0.02% Triton X-100 for 1 h followed by incubation for 1 h at room temperature with the appropriate antibodies. Mouse monoclonal primary antibodies were used to label keratin 14 (dilution 1:50; Santa Cruz, CA, USA), keratin 10 (dilution 1:100; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), involucrin (dilution 1:200; Sigma-Aldrich) and filaggrin (dilution 1:75; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For immunofluorescence, tissue sections were washed in PBS containing 0.2% bovine serum albumin and 0.02% Triton X-100 before incubation with Alexa 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (dilution 1:100; Molecular Probe Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 1 h. Coverslips were mounted in Mowiol and tissue sections observed under confocal microscopy (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and phase-contrast microscopy, and pictures were finally overlapped.

Labelling of cycling keratinocytes: BrdU incorporation

Tissues were incubated for 24 h with 10 µM bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) before fixation and embedding in paraffin. Tissue sections

were deparaffinized and incubated for 30 min in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 6 at 90°C. DNA was denatured by incubation of sections for 30 min in 2 N hydrochloric acid and then neutralized using 0.1 M sodium tetraborate pH 8.5. Incorporated BrdU was detected using a monoclonal primary antibody (dilution 1:25; BD Pharmingen) followed by Alexa-488 anti-mouse secondary antibody (dilution 1:100; Molecular Probe Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted in Mowiol for observation under confocal microscopy. Percentage of BrdU-positive cells in RHE was calculated as (number of BrdU-positive cells/total number of cells in basal layer) × 100 for each condition.

Trans-epithelial electrical resistance measurements and permeability to Lucifer yellow

Trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurements of RHE were performed from day 1 to 21 of culture using Millicell electrical resistance system (Millipore). Tissues were placed in six-well multiplates containing 3.5 ml of culture medium and overlaid with 450 µl of culture medium for the time required to measure electrical resistance.

For determination of RHE permeability to Lucifer yellow fluorescent dye, a 1 mM solution was laid over the tissue for 2 h at 37°C before fixation and embedding in paraffin for tissue processing. Sections were analysed using fluorescence microscopy.

Results

Epidermal morphogenesis benefits from a transiently elevated keratinocyte proliferation and results into the formation of an efficient barrier at the air–liquid interface

Histological observations, as well as measurements of keratinocyte proliferation by BrdU incorporation were performed through epidermis reconstruction process, on RHE obtained after 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days of culture (Figs 1 and 2). One day after seeding keratinocytes, the tissue exhibits one or two not yet proliferative flattened cell layers (Day 1; Figs 1 and 2). After exposure to the air–liquid interface, a suprabasal, probably protective layer, composed of rather acidophilic keratinocytes can be observed just above the highly proliferative basal layer (Day 3; Figs 1 and 2). After 5 days, the proliferation rate of basal keratinocytes remains high (Fig. 2), allowing keratinocytes to build RHE composed of four to five cell layers (Fig. 1). Tissue differentiation leads to progressive formation of typical epidermal layers. Indeed, the presence of keratohyalin granules easily identified at Day 5 defines a granular layer (Fig. 1). After 9 and 11 days of culture, keratinocyte proliferation in the RHE decreases while the tissue's morphology becomes very similar to the normal human epidermis (Figs 1 and 2). Basal layer is composed of columnar keratinocytes anchored to the polycarbonate filter and covered by spinous and granular layers. Superficially, cornified flattened keratinocytes are acidophilic, showing no nucleus and superficial desquamation. TEER values increase progressively to reach a plateau by Day 15, illustrating the production of an effective barrier (Fig. 3a). While penetration of Lucifer yellow is observed in the immersed cells at Day 1, it is impeded from Day 3 as a consequence of exposure to the air–liquid interface (Fig. 3b).

Localization of differentiation markers during epidermal morphogenesis

To monitor the different steps of the epidermal differentiation programme, immunofluorescent staining of several markers was performed on sections of the RHE after 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days of culture on polycarbonate filters (Fig. 3c). Expression of keratin




Figure 1. Histological analysis of epidermal reconstruction. Perpendicular sections were performed on reconstructed human epidermis (RHE) 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11 days after seeding of keratinocytes on polycarbonate filters, or on normal human skin. Sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin after fixation of RHE with formaldehyde and embedding in paraffin. They were observed in an Olympus AX70 microscope (bars 50 μ m).




Figure 2. Analysis of keratinocyte proliferation during epidermal reconstruction. Bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation was allowed for 24 h in reconstructed human epidermis (RHE) cultured for 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11 days before fixation and tissue embedding in paraffin. (a) Proliferative cells were detected using specific monoclonal antibody to BrdU followed by secondary Alexa 488 anti-mouse IgG. Sections were observed under confocal microscopy (bars 50 μ m). The dotted lines delineate the polycarbonate filter of RHE. In panel (b), results are expressed as the percentage of BrdU-positive cells and represented as the mean \pm SD from three independent tissues.

14 (KRT 14), a marker of basal non-differentiated cell phenotype, is already observed 1 day after seeding. Through the complete reconstruction process, KRT 14 is localized in the basal layer as in normal human skin. The keratin 10 (KRT 10), considered as a marker of suprabasal layers with keratin 1, is rapidly highlighted in one or two cell layers after 3 or 5 days of growth. With thickening of the RHE, the number of cell layers expressing this protein is progressively increased, but the basal layer consistently remains negative. Expression of involucrin (IVL) or flaggrin (FLG), considered as later markers of epidermal differentiation, is observed as soon as on the third day of epidermal morphogenesis. The labelling is located within the upper layers of the RHE similarly to the labelling of the skin. Involucrin labelling appears more basally than *in vivo* during the differentiation process because its expression seems initiated earlier in the suprabasal layers, whereas the expression of flaggrin is found in upper layers only. These results illustrate that quite normal epidermal differentiation is rapidly initiated after exposure of keratinocytes to the air-liquid interface.

Discussion

Progress in the field of tissue engineering has resulted in reconstruction of three-dimensional epidermal equivalents that mimic epidermis in terms of tissue architecture and function. When keratinocytes are grown at the air-liquid interface on a filter and are fed with a culture medium supplemented with calcium and vitamin C, they stratify as a normal epidermal tissue composed of basal, spinous, granular and cornified cell layers (11). Successful reconstruction of this multilayered tissue largely depends on the




Figure 3. Analysis of barrier efficiency and localization of differentiation markers during epidermal reconstruction. (a) Trans-epithelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurements were performed on reconstructed human epidermis (RHE) until day 21. Data represent measurement for three different tissues at each time point. (b) Permeability of RHE to Lucifer yellow was tested on day 1, 3 or 14. (c) Immunofluorescent staining of histological sections perpendicular to the surface of RHE cultured for 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11 days, and of normal human skin were performed using primary antibodies specific for keratin 14 (KRT 14), keratin 10 (KRT 10), involucrin (IVL) and filaggrin (FLG), followed by detection using Alexa 488-conjugated secondary antibodies. Sections were observed under confocal and phase-contrast microscopies (bars 50 μ m). The dotted lines delineate the polycarbonate filter of RHE or the interface between epidermis and dermis in human skin.

hyperproliferative status of keratinocytes harvested from growing monolayers. Such rapidly cycling cells create, when seeded on filter, a proliferative basal layer (Fig. 2) that is responsible for tissue morphogenesis during the culture period at the air–liquid interface. The control of this proliferation is not totally defined, but a recent study has highlighted that tissues exposed to the air–liquid interface exhibit higher rates of basal cell proliferation than submerged tissues, suggesting that epidermal morphogenesis might be regulated by exposure of keratinocytes to higher oxygen tension (13,14). Accordingly, hyperbaric oxygen treatment has been shown to enhance keratinocyte stratification in a skin equivalent model (15). Basal epidermal proliferation is also induced in response to disruption of the barrier function. Indeed, a damaged barrier increases epidermal DNA synthesis, likely to restore a functional barrier (16,17). Keratinocytes seeded on filter following the proce-

dures described herein exhibit an important decrease in their basal proliferation after the seventh days of culture. Histological analysis (Fig. 1) suggests that this decrease happens when the tissue acquires characteristics observed *in vivo*. In other words, our observations suggest that some homeostatic feed-back regulation slows down basal proliferation when a functional barrier is reconstructed.

Exposure of keratinocytes to air–liquid interface increases the expression of genes involved in the making of an epidermal protection against environmental assaults responsible for oxidative damage or disruption of the barrier (13). For instance, topical exposure to organic solvents or detergents results in a transient burst of free fatty acids, sphingolipids and cholesterol synthesis, which finally leads to the replenishment of lipids within the cornified layer (16). These properties of keratinocytes might explain the rapid formation of a ‘protective layer’ composed of acidophilic, possibly cornified, cells as soon as 2 days after exposure of the tissue at the air–liquid interface (Fig. 1, day 3). Concomitantly, an increase in TEER indicates the progressive setting of a protective barrier (Fig. 3a).

In the literature, the differentiation process associated with epidermal reconstruction *in vitro* has revealed abnormalities. For instance, in contrast to *in vivo* observations, involucrin is expressed in cells located immediately above the basal layer in pioneering culture conditions (4). Conversely, labelling of several differentiation markers at the end of tissue reconstruction revealed correct localization of KRT 14, KRT 10, IVL and FLG after 11 days on polycarbonate filter (11). *In vivo*-like expression of K1 and K2 in the suprabasal layers was observed in organotypic epidermis cultured on deepidermized dermis (18). Here, we have monitored the localization of those markers during the whole process of tissue morphogenesis (Fig. 3c). KRT 14 is mainly restricted to the basal layer as found in normal skin, while KRT 10 appears strictly suprabasal. Although IVL and FLG can be found just above the basal layer as soon as on Day 3, their localization becomes progressively more restricted to the granular layer, similarly to what is observed in normal skin (4).

Our data illustrate the excellent similarity of the RHE with normal epidermis at the end of tissue reconstruction (2). The availability of fully differentiated RHE is suitable for *in vitro* cutaneous toxicology or permeation studies (2,11,19), but not for studies of barrier establishment. Our data also demonstrate that experimental conditions suspected to alter tissue morphogenesis and homeostasis should be investigated in the course of the reconstruction phase. Thus, availability of epidermal tissue at different stages in culture appears crucial for studies intending to challenge the barrier function.

Acknowledgements

Aurélien Frankart developed improvements in production of RHE, while Jérémy Malaisse developed analytical approaches of cell proliferation and barrier formation. Evelyne De Vuyst contributed to collection of TEER and Lucifer yellow data. Aurélien Frankart, Jérémy Malaisse and Frédéric Minner performed morphological analysis. Aurélien Frankart, Jérémy Malaisse, Catherine Lambert de Rouvroit and Yves Poumay conceived the research, interpreted data and wrote the paper. Technical help from D. Van Vlaender, K. De Swert and V. De Glas is gratefully acknowledged. Contract grant sponsors: Henkel AG & CoKGaA, Düsseldorf; Pierre Fabre Dermo-Cosmétique, Toulouse; FNRS and FRFC: contract grants numbers: 1.5.033.06F and 2.4.522.10F to YP.

Conflict of interests

The authors have declared no conflicting interests.

References

- 1 Simpson C L, Patel D M, Green K J. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2011; **12**: 565–580.
- 2 Coquette A, Poumay Y. The Reconstructed human epidermis models in fundamental research. In: Meyer M, Handschel J, Wiesmann H P, eds. *Fundamentals of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*. Berlin: Springer, 2009: 967–976.
- 3 Rheinwald J G, Green H. *Cell* 1975; **6**: 317–330.
- 4 Banks-Schlegel S, Green H. *J Cell Biol* 1981; **90**: 732–737.
- 5 Boyce S T, Ham R G. *J Invest Dermatol* 1983; **81**: 33s–40s.
- 6 Hennings H, Michael D, Cheng C *et al.* *Cell* 1980; **19**: 245–254.
- 7 Prunieras M, Regnier M, Woodley D. *J Invest Dermatol* 1983; **81**: 28s–33s.
- 8 Rosdy M, Clauss L C. *J Invest Dermatol* 1990; **95**: 409–414.
- 9 Harriger M D, Hull B E. *J Burn Care Rehabil* 1992; **13**: 187–193.
- 10 Fortunel N O, Cadio E, Vaigot P *et al.* *Exp Dermatol* 2010; **19**: 387–392.
- 11 Poumay Y, Dupont F, Marcoux S *et al.* *Arch Dermatol Res* 2004; **296**: 203–211.
- 12 Minner F, Herphelin F, Poumay Y. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010; **585**: 71–82.
- 13 Koria P, Andreadis S T. *J Invest Dermatol* 2006; **126**: 1834–1841.
- 14 Koria P, Brazeau D, Kirkwood K *et al.* *J Invest Dermatol* 2003; **121**: 368–382.
- 15 Dimitrijevic S D, Paranjape S, Wilson J R *et al.* *Wound Repair Regen* 1999; **7**: 53–64.
- 16 Proksch E, Holleran W M, Menon G K *et al.* *Br J Dermatol* 1993; **128**: 473–482.
- 17 Proksch E, Feingold K R, Man M Q *et al.* *J Clin Invest* 1991; **87**: 1668–1673.
- 18 Virtanen M, Sirsjö A, Vahlquist A *et al.* *Exp Dermatol* 2010; **19**: 674–681.
- 19 Götz C, Pfeiffer R, Tigges J *et al.* *Exp Dermatol* 2012; **21**: 358–363.